120.5 (3)	C25-C24-C27	122.3 (3)
120.5 (3)	C20-N26C25	119.2 (3)
110.4 (3)	C24—C27—C28	116.2 (3)
106.6 (3)	C27—C28—C39	121.0 (3)
106.6 (3)	C27—C28—C29	119.1 (3)
112.8 (3)	C29—C28—C39	119.8 (3)
105.3 (3)	C28—C29—C30	120.2 (3)
115.0 (3)	C29-C30-C33	120.0 (3)
118.4 (3)	C29-C30-O31	124.0 (3)
127.2 (3)	O31-C30-C33	116.0 (3)
120.6 (3)	C30O31C32	117.3 (3)
112.2 (3)	C30-C33-C36	119.8 (3)
116.7 (3)	C30-C33-O34	118.8 (3)
119.5 (3)	O34—C33—C36	121.3 (3)
124.7 (3)	C33—O34—C35	115.0 (3)
115.8 (3)	C33-C36C39	120.2 (3)
106.3 (3)	C33—C36—O37	115.6 (3)
98.4 (3)	O37-C36C39	124.3 (3)
107.3 (3)	C36-037-C38	118.0 (3)
117.7 (3)	C28—C39—C36	119.9 (3)
119.5 (3)		
	120.5 (3) $120.5 (3)$ $110.4 (3)$ $106.6 (3)$ $105.3 (3)$ $112.8 (3)$ $105.3 (3)$ $115.0 (3)$ $118.4 (3)$ $127.2 (3)$ $120.6 (3)$ $112.2 (3)$ $116.7 (3)$ $119.5 (3)$ $106.3 (3)$ $98.4 (3)$ $107.3 (3)$ $117.7 (3)$ $119.5 (3)$	120.5 (3) $C25-C24-C27$ 120.5 (3) $C20-N26-C25$ 110.4 (3) $C24-C27-C28$ 106.6 (3) $C27-C28-C29$ 106.6 (3) $C27-C28-C29$ 106.6 (3) $C27-C28-C29$ 105.3 (3) $C28-C29-C30$ 115.0 (3) $C29-C30-C33$ 118.4 (3) $C29-C30-C33$ 112.2 (3) $C30-C33$ 120.6 (3) $C30-C33$ 112.2 (3) $C30-C33-C36$ 116.7 (3) $C30-C33-C36$ 116.7 (3) $C30-C33-C36$ 114.7 (3) $C33-C34-C39$ 106.3 (3) $C33-C36-C39$ 106.3 (3) $C33-C36-C39$ 106.3 (3) $C33-C36-C39$ 107.3 (3) $C36-037-C38$ 117.7 (3) $C28-C39-C36$

Lattice parameters were measured with Philips LAT routine. Scan speed was $0.05^{\circ} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a scan width of 2.0° (intensities negligible at $\theta > 22^{\circ}$). Corrections for Lorentzpolarization effects were applied. The structure was solved by direct methods (MULTAN80: Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1980). Coordinates of H atoms were calculated with geometrical considerations (XANADU; Roberts & Sheldrick, 1979), confirmed by a final ΔF synthesis and included in the last refinement. Refinement included anisotropic non-H atoms, isotropic H atoms, a scale factor and a secondary-extinction parameter. A locally modified version of ORFLS (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1962) and the program PARST (Nardelli, 1983) were used. Fig. 2 was drawn with ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

This work was partially supported by a grant from CNR to GPB.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1061). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Bettinetti, G. P. & Giordano, F. (1988). Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm. 14, 431-449.
- Busing, W. R., Martin, K. O. & Levy, H. A. (1962). ORFLS. Report ORNL-TM-305. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Coppens, P. J. & Hamilton, W. C. (1970). Acta Cryst. A26, 71-83.
- Fruttero, R., Hawkes, G. E., Randall, E. W., Gasco, A. & Groombridge, C. J. (1988). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 1863–1866.
- Giuseppetti, G., Tadini, C., Bettinetti, G. P., Giordano, F. & La Manna, A. (1980). Il Farmaco Ed. Sci. 35, 138-151.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Koetzle, T. F. & Williams, G. J. B. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 2074–2078.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1980). MULTAN80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

- Nakai, H., Takasuka, M. & Shiro, M. (1984). J. Chem. Soc.
- Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 1459-1464. Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta
- Cryst. A24, 351-359. Roberts, P. & Sheldrick, G. M. (1979). XANADU. Program for Crystallographic Calculations. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 1291-1294

Molecular Co-Crystals of Carboxylic Acids. 16.† 1:1 Adduct of 3-Nitrobenzoic Acid with 3-Amino-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole

DANIEL E. LYNCH AND GRAHAM SMITH*

School of Chemistry, Queensland University of Technology, PO Box 2434, Brisbane 4001, Australia

KARL A. BYRIEL AND COLIN H. L. KENNARD

Department of Chemistry, The University of Queensland, Brisbane 4072, Australia

(Received 3 June 1993; accepted 2 November 1994).

Abstract

The structure of the 1:1 molecular adduct of 3-nitrobenzoic acid with the herbicide amitrole (3-amino-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole), 3-amino-1*H*,4*H*⁺-1,2,4-triazolium 3-nitrobenzoate, $C_2H_5N_4^+$. $C_7H_4NO_4^-$, [(3-AT)(3-NBA)], has been determined by X-ray diffraction. There are two independent but similar cyclic hydrogen-bonded hetero-dimers in the crystallographic asymmetric unit, with hydrogen bonds involving the carboxyl O atoms of the acid and both a heterocyclic N atom and an amine N atom of an amitrole [O···N 2.677–2.951 (3) Å]. The dimers also form into a ribbon-chain polymer structure.

Comment

In a series of studies of the formation of molecular adducts of commercially important herbicides and pesticides with suitable donor or acceptor molecules, amitrole (3-amino-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole, or 3-AT; CA Registry No. 61-82-5) has proved useful, complexing with certain nitro-substituted benzoic acids. The structures of co-crystals of 3-AT with 3,5-dinitrobenzoic acid, $[(3-AT)(DNBA)(H_2O)_2]$ (Lynch, Smith, Byriel & Kennard, 1992), and 4-nitrobenzoic acid, [(3-AT)(4-NBA)] (Byriel, Kennard, Lynch, Smith & Thompson, 1992), are known. 3-Nitrobenzoic acid

† Part 15: Lynch, Smith, Byriel & Kennard (1994).

(3-NBA) is known to have one stable and two unstable polymorphs (Davies & Hartshorne, 1934). The structure of the stable form (Dhaneshwar, Tavole & Part, 1974) has two independent hydrogen-bonded dimer molecules in the asymmetric unit each with the nitro group in the *trans* conformation. The structure of only one of the unstable forms is known (Dhaneshwar, Kulkarni, Tavole & Part, 1975), which also has two independent dimer molecules in the asymmetric unit but with the nitro groups in the *cis* conformation. In this study we report the structure of the 1:1 molecular adduct of 3-nitrobenzoic acid with amitrole, [(3-AT)(3-NBA)]. This allows a comparison of the structural features of both homo- and hetero-associated 3-nitrobenzoic acid molecules.



The structure of the title compound comprises two independent but similar 1:1 hydrogen-bonded cyclic hetero-dimers in the asymmetric unit [graph set R_2^2 (8) (Etter, 1990)], involving 3-aminotriazole (molecules A and C) and 3-nitrobenzoic acid (molecules B and D). The eight-membered hydrogenbonded ring [N(31)...O(10) 2.889 (3) Å, symmetry operation $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, z (*AB*), 2.951 (3) Å, symmetry operation $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, 1 - z (*CD*); N(4)···O(11) 2.707 (3) Å, symmetry operation $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, z (*AB*), 2.677 (3) Å, symmetry operation $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, 1 - z(CD)] is similar to that observed for the 3,5dinitrobenzoic acid and 4-nitrobenzoic acid adducts with 3-AT. Similar to the $[(3-AT)(DNBA)(H_2O)_2]$ adduct (Lynch et al., 1992) the acid forms a dimer through the N atoms N(31) and N(4) of 3-AT, whereas in [(3-AT)(4-NBA)], 4-NBA forms the dimer through N(31) and N(2). The two independent pairs are involved in similar hydrogen-bonded chain networks with other symmetry generated pairs. No hydrogen bonding occurs between the AB and CD pairs. A hydrogen bond via N(31) completes a ribbon polymer [graph set C(6)] down the a cell direction [N(31)...O(11) 2.995 (3) (AB), 3.022 (3) Å, symmetry operation 1-x, -y, 1-z (CD)]. A spiralchain polymer [graph set C(6)] extends down the **b** cell direction, this time linked through N(2) $[N(2)\cdots O(10) 2.684(3) \text{ Å}, \text{ symmetry operation } -x,$ -y, -z (AB), 2.684 (3) Å (CD)]. For the 3-NBA molecule, the dihedral angles between the benzene ring and the carboxylic group are 11.0(2)(B) and $3.8 (2)^{\circ}$ (D), cf. 4.5 and 1.6° (3-NBA, stable form, two molecules) (Dhaneshwar et al., 1974), and 6.0 and 4.2° (two molecules) (Dhaneshwar *et al.*, 1975); those between the benzene ring and the nitro group are 3.7 (2) (B) and 11.3 (2)° (D), cf. 21.7 and 5.3°

(3-NBA, unstable form, two molecules) (Dhaneshwar *et al.*, 1974), and 2.7 and 1.3° (two molecules) (Dhaneshwar *et al.*, 1975).



Fig. 1. The molecular conformation and atom-numbering scheme for the individual molecules of amitrole (A and C) and 3-nitrobenzoic acid (B and D) in the adduct.



Fig. 2. Packing of the molecular adduct [(3-AT)(3-NBA)] in the unit cell.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared by refluxing equimolar amounts of 3-nitrobenzoic acid and 3-amino-1H-1,2,4-triazole in ethanol for 20 min at 423 K. Colourless prisms (m.p. 432-434 K) formed upon total evaporation of the solvent at room temperature.

Crystal data

$C_2H_5N_4^+.C_7H_4NO_4^-$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 251.2$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25
$P2_1/a$	reflections
a = 13.316 (5) Å	$\theta = 6 - 14^{\circ}$
b = 11.192 (1) Å	$\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 14.935 (5) Å	T = 295 K

$\beta = 100.39 (2)^{\circ}$ $V = 2189 (2) Å^{3}$ Z = 8 $D_x = 1.524 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Prismatic $0.36 \times 0.34 \times 0.10$ mm Colourless	N(3D) O(31D) O(32D) C(4D) C(5D) C(6D)	0.7720 (2) 0.8168 (2) 0.8151 (2) 0.6083 (2) 0.5050 (2) 0.4581 (2)	0.0762 (2) -0.0143 (2) 0.1593 (2) 0.1763 (3) 0.1845 (3) 0.1026 (2)	0.3091 (2) 0.3402 (2) 0.2799 (2) 0.2583 (2) 0.2585 (2) 0.3076 (2)	0.0556 (9) 0.0826 (10) 0.0902 (10) 0.0493 (10) 0.0538 (10) 0.0441 (9)
--	--	--	--	---	--	--

Data collection
Enraf–Nonius CAD-4
diffractometer
$2\theta/\omega$ scans
Absorption correction:
empirical
$T_{\min} = 0.100, T_{\max} =$
0.947
4248 measured reflections
3838 independent reflections
2319 observed reflections
$[I > 2.5\sigma(I_o)]$

Refinement

Refinement on F R = 0.036wR = 0.040S = 1.052319 reflections 397 parameters All H-atom parameters refined $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o)]$ $+ 0.00139(F_o)^2$]

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.0148$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 7$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $\tilde{l} = -10 \rightarrow 10$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 250 reflections intensity variation: -2.6%

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.01$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.14 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

	-	-	
N(2A) - N(1A)	1.379 (3)	N(2C) - N(1C)	1.376 (3)
C(5A) - N(1A)	1.287 (3)	C(5C) - N(1C)	1.289 (3)
C(3A) - N(2A)	1.323 (3)	C(3C) - N(2C)	1.319 (3)
N(31A) - C(3A)	1.325 (3)	N(31C)—C(3C)	1.324 (3)
N(4A)— $C(3A)$	1.345 (3)	N(4C) - C(3C)	1.342 (3)
C(5A)—N(4A)	1.362 (3)	C(5C)—N(4C)	1.360 (3)
C(11B)—C(1B)	1.509 (3)	C(11D)—C(1D)	1.510 (3)
C(2B)— $C(1B)$	1.382 (3)	C(2D)— $C(1D)$	1.382 (3)
C(6B)—C(1B)	1.390 (3)	C(6D) - C(1D)	1.389 (3)
O(10B)—C(11B)	1.253 (2)	O(10D) - C(11D)	1.252 (2)
O(11B)—C(11B)	1.259 (2)	O(11D) - C(11D)	1.256 (3)
C(3B)— $C(2B)$	1.369 (3)	C(3D)— $C(2D)$	1.372 (3)
N(3B)—C(3B)	1.472 (3)	N(3D) - C(3D)	1.467 (3)
C(4B)— $C(3B)$	1.378 (3)	C(4D)— $C(3D)$	1.373 (4)
O(31B)—N(3B)	1.219 (3)	O(31D) - N(3D)	1.224 (3)
O(32B)—N(3B)	1.222 (3)	O(32D) - N(3D)	1.214 (3)
C(5B)—C(4B)	1.379 (4)	C(5D)— $C(4D)$	1.379 (4)
C(6B)— $C(5B)$	1.389 (4)	C(6D)— $C(5D)$	1.390 (4)
C(5A)— $N(1A)$ — $N(2A)$	103.2 (2)	C(5C)— $N(1C)$ — $N(2C)$	103.3 (2)
C(3A)— $N(2A)$ — $N(1A)$	111.5 (2)	$C(3C) \rightarrow N(2C) \rightarrow N(1C)$	111.5 (2)
N(31A)— $C(3A)$ — $N(2A)$	127.6 (2)	N(31C)— $C(3C)$ — $N(2C)$	127.0 (2)
$N(4A) \rightarrow C(3A) \rightarrow N(2A)$	106.5 (2)	$N(4C) \rightarrow C(3C) \rightarrow N(2C)$	106.6 (2)
$N(4A) \rightarrow C(3A) \rightarrow N(31A)$	125.9 (2)	$N(4C) \rightarrow C(3C) \rightarrow N(31C)$	126.4 (2)
C(5A)— $N(4A)$ — $C(3A)$	105.7 (2)	C(5C)— $N(4C)$ — $C(3C)$	105.9 (2)
$N(4A) \rightarrow C(5A) \rightarrow N(1A)$	113.1 (2)	$N(4C) \rightarrow C(5C) \rightarrow N(1C)$	112.8 (2)
C(2B)— $C(1B)$ — $C(11B)$	120.0 (2)	$C(2D) \rightarrow C(1D) \rightarrow C(11D)$	120.0 (2)
$C(6B) \rightarrow C(1B) \rightarrow C(11B)$	121.3 (2)	$C(6D) \rightarrow C(1D) \rightarrow C(11D)$	121.3 (2)
C(6B)— $C(1B)$ — $C(2B)$	118.8 (2)	$C(6D) \rightarrow C(1D) \rightarrow C(2D)$	118.7 (2)
O(10B) - C(11B) - C(1B)	118.0 (2)	O(10D) - C(11D) - C(1D)	117.7 (2)
O(11B) - C(11B) - C(1B)	117.9 (2)	O(11D) - C(11D) - C(1D)	117.9 (2)
O(11B)-C(11B)O(10B) 124.1 (2)	O(11D)-C(11D)-O(10L	0) 124.3 (2)
C(3B)— $C(2B)$ — $C(1B)$	119.4 (2)	$C(3D) \rightarrow C(2D) \rightarrow C(1D)$	119.3 (2)
N(3B) - C(3B) - C(2B)	117.6 (2)	N(3D)— $C(3D)$ — $C(2D)$	118.2 (2)
C(4B)— $C(3B)$ — $C(2B)$	123.0 (2)	C(4D) - C(3D) - C(2D)	123.0 (2)
C(4B)— $C(3B)$ — $N(3B)$	119.4 (2)	C(4D)— $C(3D)$ — $N(3D)$	118.9 (2)
O(31B)— $N(3B)$ — $C(3B)$	118.4 (2)	O(31D) - N(3D) - C(3D)	118.8 (2)
O(32B)— $N(3B)$ — $C(3B)$	118.0 (2)	O(32D) - N(3D) - C(3D)	118.5 (3)
O(32B)—N(3B)O(31B)	123.6 (2)	O(32D)-N(3D)-O(31D) 122.7 (2)
C(5B)— $C(4B)$ — $C(3B)$	117.7 (2)	C(5D)— $C(4D)$ — $C(3D)$	118.0 (2)
C(6B)— $C(5B)$ — $C(4B)$	120.3 (2)	C(6D)— $C(5D)$ — $C(4D)$	120.0 (3)
C(5B) - C(6B) - C(1B)	120.8 (2)	C(5D) - C(6D) - C(1D)	121.0 (2)

The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985) and refined by full-matrix least squares (SHELX76; Sheldrick, 1976) with anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-H atoms. Molecular graphics were prepared using PLUTO (Motherwell & Clegg, 1978).

The authors acknowledge financial assistance from the Australian Research Council, The University of Oueensland and the Centre for Instrumental and Development Chemistry of the Queensland University of Technology.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: HL1044). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table	1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent					
isotropic displacement parameters (Ų)						
$U = (1/2) \sum \sum U_{i} a^* a^* a_i a_i$						

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

	x	у	Z	U_{eq}
N(1A)	0.3235(2)	0.0259 (2)	-0.1078(2)	0.0479 (8)
N(2A)	0.2515(2)	0.0838 (2)	-0.0679(1)	0.0393 (7)
C(3A)	0.2914 (2)	0.1760(2)	-0.0185(2)	0.0335 (7)
N(31A)	0.2445 (2)	0.2503 (2)	0.0294 (2)	0.0483 (8)
N(4A)	0.3902(1)	0.1813 (2)	-0.0269(1)	0.0371 (7)
C(5A)	0.4047 (2)	0.0884(3)	-0.0821 (2)	0.0469 (9)
C(1B)	0.0652(2)	-0.0081 (2)	0.1447 (2)	0.0305 (7)
C(11B)	-0.0036(2)	0.0847 (2)	0.0920(2)	0.0313 (2)
O(10B)	-0.0979(1)	0.0749 (2)	0.0889(1)	0.0416 (6)
O(11B)	0.0374(1)	0.1667(1)	0.0535(1)	0.0396 (5)
C(2B)	0.1670 (2)	-0.0134 (2)	0.1372 (2)	0.0340 (8)
C(3B)	0.2275(2)	-0.1015(2)	0.1820(2)	0.0359 (8)
N(3B)	0.3343 (2)	-0.1073 (2)	0.1687 (2)	0.0495 (8)
O(31 <i>B</i>)	0.3652 (2)	-0.0297 (2)	0.1232 (2)	0.0684 (8)
O(32B)	0.3874 (2)	-0.1892 (2)	0.2047 (2)	0.0792 (9)
C(4B)	0.1920 (2)	-0.1855 (2)	0.2361 (2)	0.0445 (9)
C(5B)	0.0911 (2)	-0.1783 (2)	0.2458 (2)	0.0470 (9)
C(6B)	0.0278 (2)	-0.0909 (2)	0.1998 (2)	0.0406 (8)
N(1C)	0.1370(2)	0.0283 (2)	0.4035 (2)	0.0618 (9)
N(2C)	0.2246 (2)	0.0904 (2)	0.4382(2)	0.0460 (8)
C(3C)	0.2042 (2)	0.1878 (2)	0.4813(2)	0.0378 (8)
N(31C)	0.2706 (2)	0.2673 (2)	0.5224 (2)	0.0529 (9)
N(4C)	0.1024 (2)	0.1920(2)	0.4744 (1)	0.0440 (7)
C(5C)	0.0658 (2)	0.0931 (3)	0.4265(2)	0.0580 (11)
C(1D)	0.5133 (2)	0.0102 (2)	0.3553 (2)	0.0330(7)
C(11D)	0.4628 (2)	-0.0795 (2)	0.4083 (2)	0.0340 (7)
O(10D)	0.3704(1)	-0.0644(1)	0.4117(1)	0.0443 (6)
O(11D)	0.5161 (1)	-0.1643 (2)	0.4460(1)	0.0443 (6)
C(2D)	0.6163 (2)	0.0009 (2)	0.3532 (2)	0.0351 (8)
C(3D)	0.6615 (2)	0.0845 (2)	0.3062 (2)	0.0382 (8)

References

- Byriel, K. A., Kennard, C. H. L., Lynch, D. E., Smith, G. & Thompson, J. G. (1992). Aust. J. Chem. 45, 969-981.
- Davies, E. S. & Hartshorne, N. H. (1934). J. Chem. Soc. pp.
- 1830-1836. Dhaneshwar, N. N., Kulkarni, A. G., Tavole, S. S. & Part, L. M. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 1978-1980.
- (1975). Acta Cryst. **D3**, 1976–1960.
 Dhaneshwar, N. N., Tavole, S. S. & Part, L. M. (1974). Acta Cryst. **B30**, 583–587.
- Etter, M. C. (1990). Acc. Chem. Res. 23, 120-126.
- Lynch, D. E., Smith, G., Byriel, K. A. & Kennard, C. H. L. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 1265-1267.
- Lynch, D. E., Smith, G., Byriel, K. A. & Kennard, C. H. L. (1994). Aust. J. Chem. In the press.
- Motherwell, W. D. S. & Clegg, W. (1978). PLUTO. Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures, Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

catecholamine analogue with selective brochodilator activity (Murase, Mase, Ida, Takahashi & Murakami, 1977; Trofast, Osterberg, Kallstrom & Waldeck, 1991). It was prepared in four stages as shown in the scheme below.



Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 1294-1295

2-(3-Benzyloxy-4-nitrophenyl)oxirane, an Intermediate in the Synthesis of Formoterol

K. CHANDRA MOHAN AND K. RAVIKUMAR

Laboratory of Crystallography, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

T. V. S. K. VITTAL AND C. K. GIDO

Bio-Organic Laboratory, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India

(Received 29 September 1993; accepted 23 December 1993)

Abstract

In the title compound, $C_{15}H_{13}NO_4$, the two planar nitrophenyl and benzyloxy groups are inclined at 152.3 (2)°. The torsion angle about the central C—O bond is 177.5 (5)°, giving an extended C—C—O—C chain. The nitro group is twisted out of the plane of the phenyl ring by 28.4 (3)° to diminish steric hindrance; O atoms of the nitro and benzyloxy groups are separated by only 2.625 (7) Å. The dihedral angles between the epoxy ring and the two aromatic rings are 98.3 (3) and 102.6 (3)°. There is a possible C—H…O intermolecular interaction.

Comment

The title compound, (I), is one of the intermediates in the synthesis of the anti-asthmatic agent formoterol, (II), a β -adrenoreceptor-stimulating

The structure determination of (I) was undertaken to gain insight into the reaction pathways. In all essential details the geometry of the molecule is normal. The dihedral angles between the plane defined by C(6)—O(4)—C(9)—C(10) and the two aromatic rings present in the structure are 2.7 (3) and 149.6 (4)°. The nitro group is twisted 28.4 (3)° out of the plane of the phenyl ring and this gives a short O(4)···O(3) intramolecular contact of 2.625 (7) Å; the sum of van der Waals radii for O is 2.80 Å (Pauling, 1960). The O(4)···O(3)—N(1) angle is 86.1 (7)°.

One C—H···O intermolecular contact [C(9)— H···O(1)($y = \frac{3}{4}$, $-x + \frac{3}{4}$, $-z + \frac{3}{4}$) 3.437 (8) Å] is geometrically appropriate for a C—H···O hydrogen bond (Berkovitch-Yellin & Leiserowitz, 1984). Other contacts are all of van der Waals type.



Fig. 1. A perspective view of the molecule with atom labelling.

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved